High Wycombe Junction Protection Responses

Definitely needed due to school traffic.

I strongly agree to have "No Waiting at Any Time (Double yellow lines)" restriction on Totteridge Road. It should be done from Denewood/The Crescent to Wingate Avenue, as visibility is getting worse every year. Neighbours on Totteridge Road are renting properties to multiple occupants, running car trade businesses from home, taxis, minibuses, which is extremely frustrating for the residents, as it creates blind spots in the residents' view of the road as they pull out of their drives. Elderly using the bus system struggle to use the pavement safely, sometimes they've to go round the pavement mounted cars by going on the road, which is extremely dangerous. Pedestrians with pushchairs struggle to use the pavement safely, which is extremely dangerous and could end up in a disaster, perhaps even resulting in a fatality. We've 3 schools in Totteridge area and school children use pavement to walk back and forth from school. Due to the amount of cars parked on either side of the road and the pavements, it's extremely dangerous for children crossing the road, and the blind spots are a major catalyst to cause an accident "the drivers cannot see the children, and the child cannot see the cars. I hope the implementation of double yellow lines will put some sense in residents mind to be considerate about the pavement users and safe road parking.

I feel this is the only safe place that visitors can park on that road because there is limited amount of road space that is available around the green. I have had people complain to me if someone has parked in front of their house on the road but not blocking the drive. If you take that corner away I'm afraid it could lead unnecessary tensions with the neighbours.

I agree with the introduction of parking restrictions on Totteridge Road.

I believe that this should be complemented with forbidding parking on the pavement throughout Coningsby Rd and Lowsone Rise. The Risings should be given a sign of Private/Residents parking only (as it is in fact true).

The bus stop on the south side of the road is no longer in use since the bus route was changed several years ago, and the unused bus stop markings should be removed. I disagree that there is a visibility issue outside No.11 or No.26, Hithercroft Road, so I do not understand why the additional markings have been proposed here. It is rare that anyone parks there anyway, but even if they did, the sight lines are still OK. The new markings proposed at the junction of Plomer Hill and the Pastures are an good idea, because parking here seriously reduces the visibility when turning at 13; The additional markings outside Nos. 39 and 40 make good sense because the bus has to turn there.

Parents stop to pick up their kids here all the time they shouldn't be able to. It causing the traffic to back up.

Proposal to install double yellow lines between Wendover Arms PH and Knights Hill will have the effect of moving the parking problems further up Deeds Grove and around the corners of the Junctions into Knights Hill and Dean Close. If the scheme goes ahead then we require a strict and enforced Residents Only parking permit system. Knights Hill is a Bus route which is essential to those of us who live up the steep hill. The Bus Driver stops his bus and turns around when the bus is prevented from proceding leaving some people stranded so this problem will increase. Knights Hill suffers already from casual and fly parking and this causes restricted vision when exiting service roads and driveways and also the Junction of Baronsmead road and Knights Hill.

This is long overdue, there have been lots of times where you can't even see to come out of the junction of Farm Close as people had park either side waiting for an accident to happen. Plus you couldn't enter Farm Close due to inconsiderate parking on the corners!! Events the duscarts were having issues trying to get up Farm Close but couldn't on several occasions. I welcome this decision and I have been emailing Cllr Julia Wassall too.

Junction bottom of Deeds Grove and Desborough Avenue Although we agree with the double yellow lines proposal our concerns are for parking problems. The vehicles that already park either side of Deeds Grove at the above said junction will only park further up Deeds Grove and onto Knights Hill, therefore, the parking problem will not go away but only cause more serious problems. Many of the parked vehicles have been seen to stay parked in the same place for many weeks, some without tax/mots and possibly owned by car dealers working illegally from their homes. Knights Hill and Deeds Grove are a bus route which is a must for many people living on the estate. Knights Hill is also a racetrack for high powered vehicles who take pleasure in racing up and down this Hill. Knights Hill has three very dangerous junctions which are being made worse by drivers parking illegally - double yellow lines should be placed on the other two junctions - (i) and (ii) below: (i) The junction linking Baronsmead Road onto Knights Hill - Vehicles constantly park illegally on the corner pavements either side of Baronsmead Road, blocking pedestrian access and preventing drivers from having a clear view when manoeuvring onto Knights Hill, which is a serious danger. (ii) The junction at the top of Knights Hill onto Deeds Grove - Again, parking on both of the corners of this junction causes problems for drivers, especially for the bus drivers as sometimes they have difficulty trying to turn at this junction. This junction should also be included in your proposal for double yellow lines on these corners. (iii) The junction at the bottom of Knights Hill and Deeds Grove which is being addressed in the said proposal. Residents Parking for the Deeds Grove estate was considered but objected to by many of the property owners who rent to multiple tenants, the majority of which own a car but have no parking facilities on site and have to park on the road.

Can this also be extended around into Littleworth Road outside our property No 16 Littleworth Road or bollards insert to stop vehicles parking on the pavement. Since earlier double yellow lines installed vehicles now park here regularly causing safety issues for the buses to negotiate the Junction. Also affects visibility when pulling out of Heathercroft Road. Sooner or later their will be an accident. Parking exists for the Shops and the Garage has ample space on site.

I live at the above address, and have found great difficulty in exiting my house by car, due to the parking of vehicles whose owners do not live on Green Road. Due to the curvature of the road I am unable to see traffic approaching from my right due to cars being parked on the pavement/road. If the double yellow lines could be extended to 20 metres, instead of 10 metres, from the junction with Kingshill Road it would be safer for me to exit. Most of the offending vehicles belong to residents of the estate built on the site of Wellesbourne School who due parking regulations on Kingshill Road and local site regulations have nowhere to legally park. This is an outstanding problem that urgently needs to be resolved. This also raises an interesting point regarding the proposed build on Terriers Farm, if insufficient parking is not provided for all residents, the whole of Terriers could be traffic bound

We recently received a notification of parking restrictions in Mines Close in Downley. Whilst this proposal acknowledges the problem of parking in this area the proposal of double yellow lines is not addressing it. It will exacerbate the difficulty for residents that do not have any allocated parking or driveway. I would like to ask what plans there are from the council to manage the parking problems apart from just moving the problem elsewhere? Has there been consideration of other solutions such as the grass verge on Mines Close could be converted to parking places, making the road wider for parking and access for emergency vehicles? Has the council started a positive campaign for people to use their driveways, instead of on road parking? If not Why not? Has there been consideration of allocating parking spaces for older properties which do not have a driveway or allocated space? Can you inform me of the policy of how the local authority is going to manage parking problems? Can you provide a report on why this proposal has been raised? Can you provide details of petitions received for the double yellow lines and where and when the discussion took place and the results displayed? I would like a response within 7 days of this e mail to be able to respond before the end of the consultation.

The proposal of double yellow lines on that part of Kingshill road to prevent the parking of cars on the pavement thus was making it necessary for pedestrians to walk in the road - especially for people trying to access our neighbours Bambino Day Nursery with their small children in push chairs and prams who had to brave walking in the road because the pavement was obstructed by parked vehicles.

As residents of * Marlow Road (HP11 ***, aka **** ******), this is in our opinion, by far a positive and constructive move in the right direction to control traffic in this area. We have, however, been subject to ongoing major traffic congestion and blocking of our driveways.

The service road located directly in front of our houses is being continually used as school drop off / pick up point as well as parking from nearby residents. The area in question is shown below, circled in red. We are house number *. Over the years I am led to believe that our neighbours (house numbers *, *, & and *) have attempted to address this issue to Buckinghamshire Council. As recent as last year, single white lines were painted on the road in this service area to indicate driveways to people parking there. I am not sure if this has helped to reduce blocking of driveways. See pictures taken (1st & 2nd Nov 2021) below, I cannot get onto my drive even with the newly painted white lines. As a homeowner, who is currently renovating the house, due to move in shortly, this has already posed major problems with access to our home during ongoing building works and deliveries. Having discussed this with neighbours, it is clearly an issue which Buckinghamshire Council is failing to address. We now view this issue as becoming more problematic than ever before, particularly during school drop off/pick up times, as parents will be unable to park along Marlow Road due to the proposed introduction of double yellow lines. Unable to gain access to our drives, as cars are being parked from awaiting parents at school pick up times from nearby schools and parked vehicles from nearby residents, is a major and deeply concerning issue. Please can you consider some form of resolution to this ongoing issue whilst considering the proposal of marking double yellow lines along Marlow Road.

Resubmitting with a different email address since it was unclear if the initial comment had been received (no confirmation sent to either my email address or 'phone). -- Summary of my response for Warren Wood Drive proposals under 'Formal Consultation 005 - Static': 1. I object to the proposal for Double Yellow Lines on the Woodstock / Keep Hill Lodge (marked as Wyck Lodge on the map) side of the road (south side) as I do not believe that there are Highway Code rules that need to be enforced by implementing them. (My detailed reasoning behind this is below.) 2. I agree to the pr oposal to extend the Double Yellow Lines on the opposite side of the road to Woodstock / Keep Hill Lodge (i.e. on the North / Rye side of the road). This will make it easier for the residents of Woodstock to reverse in to or out of their driveway. I would suggest the addition of No Parking signs next to these Double Yellow Lines too. The existing Double Yellow Lines frequently disappear under the leaves during the Autumn and Winter leading to cars parking on them opposite the driveways of both Woodstock and Keep Kill Lodge preventing easy access to these properties. My detailed reason for objecting to the proposal is as follows: Of the Waiting and parking rules (238 to 252) of the Highway Code, rule 243 is the only one that could be enforced through the proposed Double Yellow Lines (as per your letter ref. HWWR211019). Within this rule, only the following "DO NOT stop or park" points could apply: 1. opposite or within 10 metres of a junction a. on the north side of the road, the existing double yellow lines already run round the bend opposite Lime Avenue for more than 10m in both directions. b. on the south side of the road, the existing double yellow lines already run 10m from Lime Avenue to the edge of the Keep Hill Lodge property boundary. c. the road running south-west along the Keep Hill Lodge boundary (Wyck Lodge on the map) is NOT a road. It is an access that Chiltern Rangers use to access Keep Hill Wood, and that pedestrians use to walk in to the woods. Therefore, there is no junction at this point needing protection under rule 243. 2. in front of an entrance to a property a. on the north side of the road, the existing double yellow lines already stop cars parking opposite the driveway to Keep Hill Lodge. An extension to the double yellow lines opposite Woodstock (as proposed) would help to enable access to that

property (please see my earlier comment). b. on the south side of the road, there has been no issue with cars parking across the entrance to my property in the 2 years that I have lived here. c. in the 2 years that I have lived in Keep Hill Lodge, there have been no problems with gaining access to the front entrance of my property. 3. on a bend a. travelling east to west, there is good visibility of oncoming traffic even when there are cars parked outside Keep Hill Lodge or Woodstock. b. travelling west to east, the proposal would not affect visibility since double yellow lines are already present on that side of the road. 4. where you would obstruct cyclist's use of cycle facilities a. for cyclists travelling east to west, and turning right from Warren Wood Drive on to the cycle path alongside the Rye, the bend in the road improves the visibility up the road. Combined with the existing Double Yellow Lines, this gives cyclists an excellent view of any oncoming traffic, even past any cars parked outside Keep Hill Lodge or Woodstock, before they turn. b. for cyclists travelling west to east, and turning from the cycle path alongside the Rye on to Warren Wood Drive, the existing double yellow lines already provide excellent visibility. The proposal does not increase visibility further. The letter also referred to creating "a safer environment for pedestrians". To address this point: 1. Pedestrians predominantly cross the road between the point where the cycle-path / footpath from the Rye meets Warren Wood Drive and the footpath opposite that leads in to Keep Hill Wood a. crossing north (the Rye) to south (Keep Hill Wood) - the existing double yellow lines provide excellent visibility from the right (west) enabling pedestrians to see oncoming traffic. Traffic from the left (east) is partially obscured by the bend, but becomes visible as pedestrians cross. b. crossing south (Keep Hill Wood) to north (the Rye) - the existing double yellow lines and bend provide excellent visibility from the right (east) enabling pedestrians to see oncoming traffic. The bend enables crossing pedestrians to see past any parked cars on the left (west) outside of Keep Hill Lodge or Wood Stock. The road is a no through road and is only used by people accessing the housing estate at the end of Warren Wood Drive and people parking along the road to access the Rye. Therefore, these proposals are unlikely to be needed to improve the flow of traffic along the road, if that were a consideration. The online Scheme Document also referred to three reasons: 1. "For avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising" - I have a ddressed this point in my comments above and believe that the proposed double yellow lines on the south side of Warren Wood Drive are unnecessary. 2. For facilitating the passage on the road or any class of traffic (including pedestrians) - I have addressed this point in my comments above and believe that the proposed double yellow lines on the south side of Warren Wood Drive are unnecessary. 3. For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs - It is not clear how the proposed double yellow lines would achieve this, nor is it mentioned in the letter. I am therefore assuming that this point does not apply to the proposal for Warren Wood Drive. I am happy to provide photographic or video evidence to support the points made above (there is no way to submit this on the website). Please let me know if you would like me to do so.

The proposed yellow lines at the junction of Deeds Grove and Desborough Avenue are welcome, BUT our concern is that it will force people to park on the pavement in front of the parade of shops. The junction is already a cause for concern as when joining Desborough Avenue from Deeds Grove drivers vision is impaired caused by vehicles that are parked on the pavement, especially the part of the pavement next to the road, you cannot see on

coming traffic from the right thus making the manoeuvre very dangerous. As permission has recently been granted for a restaurant/takeaway in this area more and more vehicles will frequent the parade of shops therefore making any manoeuvres in and out of the junction lethal. This will also push the parking problems further up Deeds Grove and onto Knights Hill. Please please can you also put yellow lines around and opposite the junction with Knights Hill and Baronsmead Road, and also at the Junction at top of Knights Hill. These junctions have parked vehicles fully on the pavement some left for weeks even months and now we have to contend with an e scooter station, yet another problem with the scooters being dumped and ridden on the pavements. It has been very difficult to get untaxed vehicles removed, The council, The Police and The D.V.L.A just keep passing the buck, we also have problems with traders selling vehicles from the side of the road and other illegal dealings. Another problem is several high powered sports cars racing up Knights Hill and Deeds Grove and not just a few miles miles over the speed limit but dangerously fast, unfortunately they are not the only drivers breaking the speed limit. Knights Hill serves most of the estate as well as Conifer Rise, its also a much needed Bus route especially for us older residents. The bus often has problems with inconsiderate parking thus on occasions preventing it completing it's route. At the moment most parking is on one side of Knights Hill, if this leads to parking on both sides of the hill it would be a big problem for the residents and again for the bus which already has problems getting round the estate. Double yellow lines all the way up the left hand side of Knights Hill would help both the bus and the residents of the hill. It will be interesting to see who polices these new proposals as not much notice is taken of the existing double yellow lines or complaints about untaxed vehicles.

While it's good to see initiatives are being introduced I'm not sure I understand what value this will add as the only times there are issues with parking is when there is a home game at Adams Park. The bigger issue is the speed of certain motorists who use the local roads as their personal race track. The speed limit on Lane End Rd is 30mph but I've witnessed cars going well over 100 mph. By introducing the parking restrictions you will create an even more inviting "race track" for these idiots and it will be just a matter of time before someone is killed.

My suggestion is to tackle parking issues on home game days and invest heavily in speed reduction measures.

Despite being a 30mph limit along some of its length Lane End Road has many people driving along it at much higher speeds. Turning into/out of Warwick Avenue is always risky as, despite the junction being in the 30mph zone very few vehicles appear to respect this limit.

Parking enforcement on match days. No point making new lines if people get away with it. I've seen tons of people parking illegally and the tickets will pay for the enforcement officers easily.

What is the point of making it no waiting, during school pick up and drop off times cars park everywhere on the junction, on double yellow lines, across my drive, the police, council I even got Steve Baker MP involved, you dont enforce the rules, so they are ignored. Perhaps save the line painting money and employ some wardens that might be a better plan

I think it would be an excellent idea to put 'no waiting at any time' on Hollis road as the road gets very full during school pick up and drop off. It becomes so busy, it's not possible to drive, and I can't get to my house at times. Also, people block my driveway way and I can leave my house sometimes until the owner has come back to pick up their child. Also, people who are waiting leave their car running and it causes pollution.

I think it would be a great idea to put speed bumps as well as people who are driving past, use Hollis road as a shortcut and speed at 40/60mph sometimes. It is a dangerous crossing as you cant see the cars coming around the bend.

Definitely needs double yellow lines at the junction of Walton Drive as at times it is difficult to see out when turning into Walton Drive. Also cars and vans park with four wheels on the pavement at times. Could bollards be put in to stop this.

We can hardly wait for this to be put in place. Hopefully all litter would disappear. Improved access for Moms with strollers, guide dogs and pedestrians in general.

I am in favour of the proposed changes, however the parking restrictions on Deeds Grove will inevitability lead to traffic moving into Dean Close. Many of these vehicles currently parked in the proposed restricted area are large commercials vans. These vehicles would park on the grass verge and opposite trees on the entrance to Dean Close and cause an obstruction.

The entrance to Dean Close running adjacent to Cherry Tree Nursery is narrow any vehicle parked opposite a tree will obstruct both residents and refuse collection. The refuse collection vehicles currently reverses into Dean Close on collection as there is not enough space to turn the vehicles at the end of the close.

Please consider extending the right-hand double yellow when facing into Dean Close to ensure the entrance is clear.

The proposed parking restrictions need to be extended further into Dean Close to avoid obstructions at the entrance to Dean Close for emergency vehicles.

The proposed parking restrictions will simply push the vehicles into Dean Close and create obstructions for residents and emergency vehicles. I would like the restrictions extended further into Deed Close to keep the entrance to the road clear of traffic.

The no waiting limitations on Hicks Farm Rise are essentially arising due to the volume of traffic and requirement for parents to take / collect their children into / from the local primary school (King's Wood). The proposed areas for the limitations are quite minimal, meaning that it would seem likely that parents would migrate their waiting / parking to just slightly further down the hill; many already do this. There are already traffic calming measures in place down Hicks Farm Rise, essentially creating a number of pinch-points, which will only become more hazardous to both pedestrians and road users, if vehicles are still permitted to wait / park within close proximity. Additionally, whilst the proposal is in theory useful, along with the additional proposals, what additional capabilities does the Council have to support the enforcement of these restrictions, otherwise, they almost might not be worth doing.

This is vital for Sussex close. Emergency vehicles can not access the roads due to the roads being blocked on the corner. Also we struggle with bin collection as the vans can not fit down our road on occasions.

This proposal for double yellow lines is an amazing idea and will ensure the safety of all the residents on Sussex close.

Strongly agree to introduce parking restrictions on Totteridge Road, High Wycombe.

I have my husband who is bed bound with Alzheimers and has carers in 4 times each day often in two cars as they come from 2 previous clients and have to meet up to deal with my husband. They need to park to care for him and they constantly have to watch for wardens whilst caring for my husband. The carers are contracted by BCC so if they could be allowed parking permits the problem would be resolved. If the hours are extended to from 8am to 6pm it means that 3 of the 4 calls are now restricted. Going from Mon to Fri 9am to 4pm is doubly difficult. I am in a lot of the day and can vouch that very few cars are parked on the road with the existing restrictions, .Please reconsider the proposals and not make a difficult life even more difficult. Thank you **********

deeds grove gets badly congested with cars parking both sides, as a result through traffic can not use both lanes.cars are often dumped here for 6 months or so with no tax or mot.

I would recommend that the restriction should be extended by approx 15 metres at the northern end and on the western (northbound) side to prevent parking in the vicinity of the footway access to the adjoining recreational field. The objective would be to prevent

vehicles parked on the footway/verge from obstructing access to the field and causing pedestrians to be endangered by stepping into the carriageway. The field is surprisingly well used daily by walkers and dog exercisers.

When non residents cars/vans are parked on the pavement as you turn into mines close, it makes it impossible for us as residents, waste lorries, fire engines, and ambulances to get past, which can be fatal. when cars are parked on the corner of the turning, (Plomer Green Lane turning into Mines Close) it makes it again impossible to get out of Mines Close as you have to stick the car right out to see if another vehicle is coming, which is an accident waiting to happen as they drive at speed along Plomer Green Lane.

I just don't see why the proposal is necessary - It would appear to me that it must be a result of some form of residential "Nimbyism" - With the residents of Mines close not wanting - Firstly any cars parking in "Their" street and secondly - For some inexplicable reason - Finding it difficult to pull out into Plomer Green Lane - If that were not the case - Surely the proposed Yellow lines would extend for an equal distance all round into Plomer Green Lane as well - There is - Because of the preponderance of Older Cottages in the Village - A parking shortage - This will make it worse - The issue is not irresponsibly parked cars but Speeding cars - Anything that would slow down the traffic would be of far more benefit than yet more ill conceived yellow lines - Sleeping Policemen - 20 mile an hour speed limit etc would have my vote - For what it's worth — *****

We write this letter/email strongly objecting the proposal for introduction of no waiting at any time (double yellow lines in the proposed locations for the following reasons: 1. purpose of these proposals being introduced is for the purposes of creating a safer environment for pedestrians, which prohibits parking opposite or within 10 metres is highly subjective conclusion and in our opinion the proposed changes will not achieve this objective. If this is the case, why are the waiting restrictions only to be applied at a small intersection and not the whole road? Where is the objective evidence that this will create the effect of creating of a safer environment? I strongly submit that it does not create a safer environment. 2. The proposals specifically target specific areas, which affects, specific families such as mine who will be adversely affected by these proposals. They appear to be targeting families from the BAME community, such as our family, which is against the Equality Act 2010. We have much larger extended families who visit regularly; this will cause many families being deprived of visiting freely. This we suggest is one of the drivers for this proposal, which is highly unsatisfactory, which has not been brought up. 3. very elderly family members who need access to the road at all times, if these parking restrictions were put in place it would put them under significant hardship and for those individuals who visit them. Again we submit they are being discriminated against unfairly by these proposals. 4. My family is heavily reliant on deliveries from online groceries, such proposals would mean that delivery drivers would not be able to access our property, resulting in serious issues for our family in obtaining food, which will affect their quality of life. 5. The proposed proposals will also cause anxiety at the possibility that certain emergency vehicles/doctors visiting family members would be unable to gain access. This is

unacceptable and does not create a safer environment. 6. We strongly submit that the proposal is short sighted and dangerous. We have a number of young female and child occupants who will have to walk much further away, if these proposals are accepted, particularly on their own and in the dark, we are very concerned the proposals will increase the distance they will have to walk from their cars to our home. This is causing a lot of anxiety and concern for all of us and will have the reverse effect of creating a safer environment. We have seen in the media how women have been kidnapped and murdered, our family does not feel safe with the proposed restrictions, with them walking further. 7.

This is a complete waste of public funds. Street lighting is already very poor in this area and the Council has failed to place new lighting to resolve this issue, but is prepared to waste valuable resources to bring these parking proposals in place which serve no purpose for the occupants living in the affected area. 7. No such proposals have been in place before and we further object that the parking restriction proposal will create a safer environment, on the grounds that, as we submit there is no problem with the flow of traffic or public safety, and these proposals will do nothing to create a safer environment. People have parked on the areas concerned for a number of years and no serious accidents and issues of safety have occurred. For all these reasons, all of us at 261 Totteridge Road object against these proposals. We will be obtaining a signed petition to show others in the same vicinity who share a similar view objecting against this proposal.